

Appl. No.: 09/328,742
Response to Office communication dated: 5/24/2005
Attorney Docket: UCONAP/141/US

REMARKS

Claims 1-9, 21 and 26 are being canceled without prejudice to filing in a subsequent application and only to hasten prosecution of the present application. Claims 23-25 and 27 are being amended. Claim 28 is being added. Upon entry of this Amendment claims 23-25 and 27-28 will be pending in the application.

The amendment to claims 23-25 changes dependency. The amendment to claims 23, 25 and 27 cancels one structure. New claim 28 is supported by the specification at Table 1.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-6, 21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

The Office communication rejected claims 1-3, 5-6, 21 and 26 as allegedly being non-enabled by the specification. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 21 and 26 have been cancelled obviating this rejection.


In summary, Applicants have addressed each of the rejections within the present Office Action. It is believed the application now stands in condition for allowance, and prompt favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney if it is deemed that a telephone conversation will hasten prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Alexandros MAKRIYANNIS et al

Date: 11-16-2005
750 Main Street- Suite 1400
Hartford, CT 06103-2721
(860) 527-9211

By: 
James E. Piotrowski
Registration No. 43,860
Alix, Yale & Ristas, LLP
Attorney for Applicants